September 19, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT
Inv. No. TA-201-075

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING (EDIS)

The Honorable Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission
U.S. International Trade Commission
500 E Street, SW
Washington, DC 20436

Re: Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells (Whether or Not Partially or Fully Assembled into Other Products), Inv. No. TA-201-075; Comments on Petitioners’ Failure to Submit Adjustment Plans

Dear Secretary Barton:

On behalf of the Solar Energy Industries Association (“SEIA”), we write to alert the Commission to an important development in the above-referenced investigation.

As the Commission is no doubt aware, Section 202(a)(4) of the Trade Act of 1974 establishes the procedure for petitioners to submit an adjustment plan to the Commission in Section 201 investigations, as follows:

Section 202(a)(4) A Petitioner under paragraph (1) may submit to the Commission and the United States Trade Representative (hereafter in this part referred to as the “Trade Representative”), either with the petition, or at any time within 120 days after the date of filing of the petition, a plan to facilitate positive adjustment to import competition.

While the language of the provision is permissive rather than mandatory, the idea behind the petitioners’ submission of an adjustment plan is that upon seeking protection from
presumptively fair trade, petitioners should provide the authorities with an explanation of how they expect to take advantage of import relief, in the event the Commission votes in the affirmative on the issue of injury. In fact, the legislative history shows that the Senate bill had originally required petitioners to submit adjustment plans (and make them public and available for comment), but this requirement was replaced by the current permissive language as part of the conference agreement.\textsuperscript{1} That being said, the legislative history of that replacement language shows how important the conferees still considered the industry’s plans for adjusting to import competition:

While the conferees decided to make the submission of an adjustment plan optional, rather than to require its submission, the conferees encourage petitioners for action under this section to submit adjustment plans. The conferees believe that it is important for firms and workers in the petitioning industry to demonstrate to the ITC and the President what steps they will be taking to make a positive adjustment to import competition.\textsuperscript{2}

The practice of submitting such plans has become so ingrained that petitioners have submitted them in every Section 201 investigation for the last 30 years (since 1986), except one. The only exception may be the 2000 investigation of Extruded Rubber Thread, in which the Commission issued a negative injury determination despite a finding of increased imports and serious injury.\textsuperscript{3}


\textsuperscript{2} Id.

\textsuperscript{3} Extruded Rubber Thread, Inv. No. TA-201-72, USITC Pub. 3375 at I-1 (Dec. 2000) (making a negative injury determination). Whereas we were able to identify the submission of adjustment plans by the domestic industry (as the petitioner under Section 202(a)(4) or as a non-petitioning stakeholder under Section 202(a)(6)) in all prior 201 cases going back to 1986, no such submission was found in the docket of the Extruded Rubber Thread. We note that the domestic extruded rubber thread industry might have filed an adjustment plan, possibly not as a public document, given North American Rubber Thread (“North American”)’s statement in its answers to the Commission’s written questions following the injury hearing (Sep. 18, 2000): “In the remedy phase, North American will present a plan and proposed remedy which North American believes will demonstrate that North American can be fully competitive with Malaysia” (emphasis added).
In the instant investigation, despite SolarWorld’s announcement that it intended to submit an adjustment plan within the timeframe contemplated by section 202(a)(4), no such adjustment plan was submitted by either SolarWorld or Suniva by September 14, 2017, which was the 120th day following the official filing date of the petition.

As the Commissioners prepare to cast their votes on the question of injury later this week, there is good cause for the Commission to accept this letter because the failure of petitioners to submit an adjustment plan after repeated commitments to do so evidences both a lack of respect for the process contemplated by the statute and an apparent inability to devise a genuine plan for the industry’s adjustment to import competition. By failing to explain, within the timeframe established by statute, how they “plan to facilitate positive adjustment to import competition,” the petitioners have deprived the Commission of a key document that has – in all but perhaps one of the prior Section 201 cases over the last 30 years – helped frame the Commission’s analysis.

We note that the statute contemplates that these plans will be filed on the same day as the injury vote, as both are normally set to occur on the 120th day after submission of the petition. In this investigation, the Commission extended the deadline for its injury vote because of the complicated nature of the case. The extension of the injury vote, however, did not also extend the deadline for adjustment plans. As a result, no plans were submitted within the

---

4 SolarWorld’s Prehearing Injury Brief at 103 (“SolarWorld intends to submit a plan to facilitate positive adjustment to import competition, as referenced in 19 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4), within 120 days of the date the petition for this investigation was filed.”); Injury Hearing Tr. at 151 (Testimony of Mr. Brightbill) (“We’ll also put forward an adjustment plan and consult with USTR on it.”), 210 (Testimony of Mr. Brightbill) (“Again I think we’re going to put forward an adjustment plan”).

5 Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells’ (Whether or Not Partially or Fully Assembled Into Other Products); Institution and Scheduling of Safeguard Investigation and Determination That the Investigation Is Extraordinarily Complicated, 82 Fed. Reg. 25331, 25333 (Jun. 1, 2017) (requiring that good cause be shown for acceptance of additional written submissions to the Commission).

6 19 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4) (setting the deadline to file adjustment plans 120 days after the date of filing the petition); 19 U.S.C. § 2252(b)(2)(A) (requiring the Commission to make its determination within 120 days after the petition is filed unless extended in investigations that the Commission finds extraordinarily complicated).

7 See Notice of Institution and Scheduling, 82 Fed. Reg. at 25331.
statutory timeframe, and this should be considered as the Commission decides whether to send this case to the President, which is the effect of the injury vote scheduled for later this week.

Should the Commission have any questions regarding this submission, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew R. Nicely
Matthew R. Nicely
Julia K. Eppard
Susie Park

Hughes Hubbard & Reed, LLP
1775 I Street, N.W. Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006
matthew.nicely@hugheshubbard.com

Counsel to SEIA
COUNSEL CERTIFICATION

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells (Whether or Not Partially or Fully Assembled into Other Products)

Inv. No. TA-201-75

In accordance with section 207.3(a) of the Commission’s rules (19 C.F.R. § 207.3(a)), I, Matthew R. Nicely, of Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP, counsel to Solar Energy Industries Association ("SEIA"), certify that under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and pursuant to the Commission’s regulations:

(1) I have read the foregoing submission in the above referenced case;

(2) to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information contained therein is accurate and complete;

Matthew R. Nicely

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: SS
Sworn and subscribed to before me this September 19, 2017.

Notary Public

My Commission expires: June 30, 2019
I, Matthew R. Nicely, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing submission has been served this day, September 19, 2017, by electronic mail or air mail*, upon the following persons:

Matthew J. McConkey, Esq.
On behalf of Suniva, Inc.
Mayer Brown LLP
1999 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
mmconkey@mayerbrown.com

Bernd G. Janzen, Esq.
On behalf of AES Distributed Energy, Inc., et al.
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-1564
bjanzen@akingump.com

H. Deen Kaplan, Esq.
On behalf of NextEra Energy, and Canadian Solar, et al.
Hogan Lovells US LLP
Columbia Square
555 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Deen.kaplan@hoganlovells.com

Kenneth G. Weigel, Esq
On behalf of Tesla Inc. and SolarCity Corporation
Alston Bird LLP
950 F Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Ken.weigel@alston.com

Timothy C. Brightbill, Esq.
On behalf of SolarWorld Americas, Inc.
Wiley Rein LLP
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
tbrightbill@wileyrein.com

Kristin H. Mowry, Esq.
On behalf of JA Solar International Co., Ltd., et al.
Mowry & Grimson, PLLC
5335 Wisconsin Avenue, NW
Suite 810
Washington, DC 20015
trade@mowrygrimson.com

Diana Dimitriuc-Quaia, Esq.
On behalf of Goal Zero, LLC and Hanwha Q Cells
Arent Fox LLP
1717 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Diana.dimitriuc-quaia@arentfox.com

Kristen Smith, Esq.
On behalf of Sunforce Products Inc.
Sandler, Travis & Rosenberg, P.A.
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 400
Washington, DC 20004
Ksmith@strtrade.com

Kelly A. Slater, Esq.
On behalf of REC Solar Pte. Ltd., et al.
Appleton Luff Pte. Ltd.
1025 Connecticut Ave., NW Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036
slater@appletonluff.com

Neil R. Ellis, Esq.
On behalf of Mission Solar Energy LLC, et al.
Sidley Austin LLP
1501 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
nellis@sidley.com
Robert G. Gosselink, Esq.
On behalf of Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd., et al.
Trade Pacific PLLC
660 Pennsylvania Ave, SE, Suite 401
Washington, DC 20030
rgosselink@tradepacificlaw.com

William J. Clinton, Esq.
On behalf of Boviet Solar Technology Co., Ltd., and Boviet Solar USA, Ltd., et al.
White & Case LLP
701 Thirteenth Street NW
Washington, DC 20005-3805
wclinton@whitecase.com
apotrade@whitecase.com

Daniel Porter, Esq.
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP
1717 Pennsylvania, NW
Washington, DC 20006
dporter@curtis.com

Lindsay B. Meyer, Esq.
On behalf of The Solaria Corporation
Venable LLP
600 Massachusetts Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20001
lbmeyer@venable.com

Daniel J. Gerkin, Esq.
On behalf of SunPower Corporation, et al.
Vinson & Elkins LLP
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, DC 20037
dgerkin@velaw.com

Fang Liu, First Secretary
On behalf of the Government of the People’s Republic of China
Embassy of the People’s Republic of China
2133 Wisconsin Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001
liufang@mofcom.gov.cn

John P. Smirnow Esq.
On behalf of 8minutenergy Renewables
Smirnow Law
1717 K Street, NW
Suite 1120
Washington, DC 20006
john@smirnowlaw.com

Ratheesh Malottu
On behalf of Vikram Solar
M.S. Pothal & Associates
F-12/4, 1st Floor
Malviya Nagar, New Delhi 110017
India
msp@pothal.in; rm@pothal.in

Stephen J. Orava, Esq.
On behalf of Hemlock Semiconductor Operations LLC
King & Spalding
1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006-4706
tradeservice@kslaw.com
sorava@kslaw.com

Jin Won Choi, Counsellor
On behalf of the Government of the Republic of Korea
Embassy of the Republic of Korea
2450 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20008
Jwchoi95@mofa.go.kr

Kevin M. O’Brien, Esq.
On behalf of Depcom Power Inc.
Baker & Mckenzie LLP
815 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
Kevin.obrien@bakermckenzie.com

Deep Patel
On behalf of GigaWatt Inc.
Giga Watt, Inc.
310 E. Orangethorpe Avenue, Suite D
Placentia, CA 92870
dpatel@gigawattinc.com
Donald B. Cameron, Esq.
Morris, Manning & Martin, LLP
1401 Eye Street, NW Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
tradeservice@mmmlaw.com

Nguyen Phuong Nam*
On behalf of the Vietnam Competition Authority
Deputy Director General
Vietnam Competition Authority
Ministry of Industry and Trade
No. 25, Ngo Quyen Street
Hoan Kiem District
Ha Noi, Vietnam
+844 22205003 – fax

Aristeo López, Esq.*
On behalf of Ministry of the Economy of Mexico
Embassy of Mexico
1611 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
202.264.4904 – fax

Dr. Sibylle Zitko, Esq.
On behalf of the European Commission
The European Commission
2175 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037
Sibylle.Zitko@eeas.europa.eu

Colin Bird, Minister Counsellor
On behalf of the Government of Canada
Minister Counsellor Embassy of Canada
501 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001
Colin.Bird@international.gc.ca

Reza Pehlevi Chairul
On behalf of the Republic of Indonesia
Embassy of Indonesia
2020 Massachusetts Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20036
Reza.pahlevi@embassyofindonesia.org

Mohd Zahid Bin Abdullah, Director
On behalf of the Ministry of International Trade and Industry of Malaysia
Ministry of International Trade and Industry
Menara Perdagangan Antarabangsa dan Industri No. 7, Jalan Sultan Haji Ahmad Shah 50480 Kuala Lumpur
Malaysia
sitizahara@miti.gov.my
alltps@miti.gov.my

Jeannie Kao
On behalf of Solartech Energy Corp.
Solartech Energy Corp.
8F., No. 760, Sec. 4, Bade Rd., Songshan Dist., Taipei 105, Taiwan
jeanniekao@solartech-energy.com

Prayoth Benyasut
On behalf of the Royal Thai Government
Office of Commercial Affairs
Royal Thai Embassy
1024 Wisconsin Avenue, NW
Suite 202
Washington, DC 20007
inquiries@commercethaiusa.org

Hsiao-Chun Lu
On behalf of LOF Solar Corp.
LOF Solar Corp.
2F, No. 6, Prosperity Road 2
Hsinchu Science Park
Hsinchu 30078, Taiwan, R.O.C.
contact@lofsolar.com
Mardjoko, Act. Director of Trade Defense*
On behalf Government of Indonesia
The Government of Indonesia
Jalan M.1 Ridwan Rais NO.5
Jakarta 10110
021-3863937 – fax

Chien Chi Chao
On behalf of the Government of Taiwan
Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative
Office in the United States
4301 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20008
ccchao@moea.gov.tw

Chien Chi Chao, Economic Officer
On behalf of the Government of Taiwan, et al.
Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative
Office in the United States
4301 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20008
ccchao@moea.gov.tw

H. R. Gupta, Managing Director
On behalf of Indo Solar Ltd.
M.S. Pothal & Associates
F-12/4, 1st Floor
Malviya Nagar, New Delhi 110017
India
msp@pothal.in; rm@pothal.in

Brian L. Eftink, Esq.
On behalf of Wacker Polysilicon North America LLC and Wacker Chemie AG
Wacker Polysilicon North America LLC
553 Wacker Boulevard, NW
Charleston, TN 37310
Brian.Eftink@wacker.com

Aluisio Gomien de Lima Campos
On behalf of Embassy of Brazil
Embassy of Brazil
3006 Massachusetts Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20008
Aluisio.campos@itamaraty.gov.br
Estagio.polcom@gmail.com

Thomas M. Beline, Esq.
On behalf of Auxin Solar Inc.
Cassidy Levy Kent (USA) LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 3000
Washington, DC 20006
tbeline@cassidylevy.com

/s/ Matthew R. Nicely
Matthew R. Nicely